№ AO 4′	72 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial				
	Case 4:17-mj-00585-BJ Docu UNITED ST	iment 70 Filed 08, ATES DISTR	/07/17 Page 1 of 1 Pag ICT COURT	eID 97	
	Northern	District of	Texas at Fort Wor	th	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
	V. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	CHAD RANKIN	_ Case	4:17-MJ-585-4		
In	Defendant	9 I I S C S 21/2/fb a	dotantian haaring has been h	ald Loonaluda	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in *				
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). under	ten years or more is prescril			
(2) (1) (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estal the appearance of the defendant as required and the There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	Alternative Findings (B) opear.	NORTHERN DIST FIL	RICT OF TEXAS	
		CT VRK. U.S. D		ISTRICT COURT	
	Part IIWritte	en Statement of Reasons	By	puty	
	nd that the credible testimony and information submit of the evidence that				
to the e reasona	Part III— e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving s ble opportunity for private consultation with defense ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility significant consultation.	sentences or being held in coounsel. On order of a cou	presentative for confinement in a correct custody pending appeal. The defendar urt of the United States or on request	nt shall be afforded a of an attorney for the	
	ection with a court proceeding.	The desired the desired to	- International Control of the purpose	an appearance	

August 7, 2017 Date Signature of Judicial Officer JEFFREY L. **♥**URETON, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 901 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 95a).